

App. F. IX-4

1927/8

File No. 355 27

Previous References

(Muz)

Serial Nos. 1 + 2

Later References

any

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. 2

SUBJECT

Exemption of levy at 5% to the Muzrai
Establishment Fund from the Mokbara at
Kolar or levy at a reduced rate of 2½%

To

The Muzrai Com^{rs}

No. 2368 D/15.6.28

Stating that recommendation

cannot be sanctioned

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

(Muz)

NOTES.

File No.

FILE HEADING.

Exemption of levy at 5% to the Muz: Establish Fund from the Mokbara at Kolar or levy at a reduced rate of $2\frac{1}{2}\%$.

From

The Muz: Commr

No C. 664 of 22-23 Dated 26.5.28

Received

Diary No. 1597

Enclos.

Spare Copies.

Serial No

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Recommends that the rate of levy in the case of the Mokbara at Kolar may be reduced to $2\frac{1}{2}\%$ as in the case of the Gymkhana & allied institutions at Seringapatam -

NOTES AND ORDERS.

3

A copy of G.O. No. 1220-1/Muz 341-26-6 of 31.1.28 re levy of contributions for the Muz: 2-2:7 is put up for refce.

2 A levy of 5% p.a. is made in respect of this institution & the amount of annual contribution comes to Rs 168.

3 What is now urged is

NOTES--First Sheet

Serial
No.

has ~~only~~ an annual surplus of only Rs 203; that if Rs 168 is paid out of this for Govt. Fund, the amount left for repairs of this institution which is an ancient monument, is stated to be quite inadequate; & that the levy should, therefore, be reduced to $2\frac{1}{2}\%$, as in the case of the Gymkhana. 4. ~~The~~ The reduction of the levy in the case of the Gymkhana was made as a very special case -

5. Kind refce is invited to para 2 of the G.O. referred to above. It is directed that scales of expenditure of muz. institutions with debit balances should be revised so as to admit of payment of contribution to the Fund -

6. Besides, in his letter leading to the order, the M. C. has himself opined that no exemptions should be granted at the beginning & that after working of the fund for 3 years, the levy may be revised. ~~In the above~~ In view of the above facts, it is subd for orders whether the recommendation may be re-

80
5/6-9

Serial
No.



7 I regret - I cannot support - The
M. C's recommendation -
The case of the Gumbay is very special
and it cannot serve as a precedent
for any other institution.
The proposal has been referred

2nd member)

$\frac{4}{2}$

The M.C. may be informed
accordingly

AMM - M -



STB/b

Draft is put up -

80-91
12/6 DE
Til

2 To the may. com^r No. 2368 dated
15-6-1928

States that the recommendation cannot
be sanctioned. The case of the
Gumbay is very special & it cannot
serve as a ~~sp~~ precedent for any
other institution

I have the honor to be,

CLP,

Your most obedient servant,

M. Hewan - by proxy
for Assistant Commissioner
(absent from station)

Letter No. 133 G. 1328, 27-30 dated 10th October 1927 from the
Deputy Commissioner, Kolar District to the Mutual Commission, Mysore.

"Muzrai Establishment Fund - Modification of Kolar contribution to the
Fund - to this be replied."

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of the printed copy of
Government Order No. 574/Muz. 511 G.O. 4 dated the 13th October 1927, relating
orders for the levy of contributions from the Muzrai Institutions for the
Muzrai Establishment Fund and to bring to your notice, with reference to
paras 4 and 6 thereof the case of the Mokkara at Nilur, for being exempted
from payment of the contribution and to state as follows:-

3. In para 4 of the Government Order it is laid down that in the
case of institutions, the contribution payable by each shall be fixed
by competent authority in their sanctioned series of charges at the
prescribed rates viz. 5% on income of Major Institutions and 3% on that
of Minor Institutions, the contributions already fixed will be subject to
an exception is made only in the case of Ombor and connected institutions
at Srirangapatna, which will, it is permitted, contribute at a reduced
rate of 3½% for a period of 3 years. And it is further laid down in para
6 of this order that the contribution should be levied from the year 1928-
29 and adjusted in the accounts of that year, and accordingly to this, the
Commissioner has been requested to issue instructions, in consultation
with the Muzrai Commissioner, to the Treasuries concerned for having the
necessary adjustment entries made in the Pass Books of the Institutions
concerned.

4. In giving effect to the above arrangements ordered by Government in
the above Government Order, it is found that in the case of Mokkara at
Nilur, a sum of Rs. 108/- calculated at 3 1/2 per cent of the income of
Rs. 5,304-0-0 (the cash grant from the Government) has been fixed and
provided in the series of charges mentioned in G.O. 11-12/Muz. 70-10-2
dated 18th August 1921. The Mokkara has only one other source of income
and that is from the Mango and other trees standing in the compound of the
Mokkara and the average income from this source has been valued at Rs. 100-0-0
in the said Government Order of the 18th August 1921. Hence the total
contribution to be adopted for the year 1928-29 will be Rs. 108-0-0 (a)
of the Government Order of 18th October 1927, and adding the sum of
Rs. 5,304-0-0 plus Rs. 100-0-0 (Rs. 5,404-0-0) as the income of the
the contribution to be made for the year 1928-29.

only be a small balance of Rs.100/- or 100 or 80-12-0 which will hardly be enough even for the ordinary running regular to the establishment, etc., etc.,

8. I have ventured to submit for your consideration the above circumstances of the Mokbara in detail, so that the point may be raised and decided whether the Mokbara at Kolar may not be excused from payment of the contribution towards the establishment fund, as a special case, or what is the same thing; whether the annual cash grant may not be raised by an equal amount of Rs.104/- or 103/- or Rs.100-4-0; or as an alternative as a last resort - whether the contribution may not be paid at the reduced rate of 2½% which is the concession allowed in the case of Gumbuz and allied institutions at Seringapatam.

9. The Mokbara is an institution which is deserving of the same treatment viz. the waiving of the contribution fund towards the establishment fund and as its income is almost limited to the cash grant from Government and there is not sufficient margin in its income for making the contribution required.

10. I am not ⁱⁿ possession of the figures as to what amount will be required by the levy of contribution towards the establishment fund from the several Muzrai institutions of this District and what special Muzrai establishment will be allowed for this District and what it will cost. As this question has been reserved for consideration, I urge whether the levy of contribution to the establishment fund in the case of Mokbara at least may not be deferred till the question of establishment is taken up and decided, at least for three years more from 1926-27 for which period only the present arrangements ordered apply.

11. In conclusion I request that, pending the settlement of the question now submitted for consideration, the Comptroller may be requested not to adjust the amount of contribution payable from the funds of the institution through the Treasuries."

"C o p y"

M. Channarayana

For Muzrai Commissioner.

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to.....1597.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No.

General and Revenue Secretariat

(May)
the 192

Date of issue.....15/6/28.....

Signed by.....*U. Lk.*.....

List of enclosures.....

Copied by

Examined by

To *The Musree House*
in Mysore, Mysore
Sir,

With reference to
your letter no. 214 of
3.6.28 recommending
that the rate of levy of
contribution for the Musree
Hospital Fund in respect of
the Mohibara at Mysore
be reduced from 5 to 2 1/2 %
as in the case of the Hospital
Fund. I do state that
I am in agreement that the
contribution should be

over

I am to add that
sanctioned, and ~~that~~ the
case of the Grumbaz, ~~which~~ is a
very special & cannot serve
as a precedent for any other
institution.

89
12/6/78

I have to
Secretary
Fin: Deft

Bb
- 12-6-78